Town of Georgina Official Plan Review



Hamlet Open House Events, January 22 and 27, 2014 Report



Date Prepared: February 26, 2014



Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction1		
2.0	Community Character		
2.1	Baldwin2		
2.2	Belhaven4		
2.3	Brownhill4		
2.4	Ravenshoe5		
2.5	Udora5		
2.6	Virginia7		
3.0	Opportunities and Constraints10		
3.1	Baldwin10		
3.2	Belhaven11		
3.3	Brownhill		
3.4	Ravenshoe11		
3.5	Udora12		
3.6	Virginia13		
4.0	Future Development14		
5.0	Conclusion15		

1.0 Introduction

The Hamlet Open House events were held as part of the Town of Georgina Official Plan Review to obtain input on the future of the Town's Hamlets. The Open House Sessions were conducted over two different days, one at the Udora Community Hall, on January 22, 2014; and the second at the Egypt Community Hall on January 27, 2014. The purpose of the Sessions was to discuss issues related to the six designated hamlets in the Town of Georgina Official Plan. At the Udora meeting, the Hamlets of Brownhill, Ravenshoe and Udora were discussed. In the second session, held at the Egypt Community Hall, the Hamlets of Virginia, Belhaven and Baldwin were discussed. In total, over 160 people attended the Open House Sessions. The high attendance can likely be attributed to participants receiving direct mail notice of the Open House, as well as a high level of interest from hamlet residents with respect to the future of their communities.

The purpose of the Open Houses was to receive comments on the individual character of each hamlet, as well as discuss the views of the participants with respect to future development in their communities. Each session was held from 7:00 p.m. to approximately 9:00 p.m. Participants were provided with an overview of the purpose of the Official Plan Review and role of upper tier planning policies. Then a series of group discussions were held as described below. A copy of the presentation provided to the groups is attached as Appendix 1 to this report.

One of the key considerations in the Official Plan Review is to determine whether or not the existing boundaries of the Hamlets should be changed. Since the Official Plan Review is intended to implement the Greenbelt Plan, the following policies contained in Section 3.4.3 of the Greenbelt Plan need to be fully explored.

3.4.3 Hamlet Policies

For lands within Hamlets in the Protected Countryside, the following policies shall apply:

- 1. Hamlets, as identified in municipal official plans and within their approved boundaries as they existed on the date this Plan came into effect, continue to be governed by municipal official plans and related programs or initiatives and are not subject to the policies of this Plan, save for the external connections policies of section 3.2.5. This Plan permits infill and intensification of Hamlets subject to appropriate water and sewage services.
- 2. Outside of specialty crop areas, minor rounding out of Hamlet boundaries at the time of municipal conformity is also permitted in keeping with the character of the Hamlet. This rounding out is only permitted subject to the infrastructure policies contained in section 4.2 of this Plan and municipal official plans

1

2.0 Community Character

Policies regarding development of Hamlets in Provincial, Regional and Town of Georgina documents all refer to maintaining or preserving the character of the existing community. To assist in determining how such a policy should be applied at a local level, participants were asked to describe the character of their Hamlet. Participants were given the following basic framework for their description:

- Physical;
- Social;
- Demographic;
- Economic;
- Environmental; and,
- Unique Features

Participants were also encouraged to identify other characteristics of their Hamlet which should be included in their descriptions. The following sections describe how the participants defined the character of their Hamlets.

2.1 Baldwin



Physical	Natural settings Significant forest Wildlife River Pretty Spacious Large lot sizes Septic systems/wells Treed lots No cable or high-speed internet No gas lines/no sewers Black River Mill pond Heritage buildings Conservation areas	
Demographic	Mixed – young families Empty nesters 80% > 50	

	Family oriented
	Middle aged – established community
	Not conducive to elderly
	Aging demographic
	Stable environment
Environmental	Negative – pollution air (noise)
	Wetlands – flood plain
	Hwy 48 – 404 traffic
	Wells
	Black River
	Conservation area
	Agricultural surrounding
	Chemicals at sod farms
	Concerns re surrounding areas (landfill)
	Hydro easement – designation
	Concerns for truck traffic
	LSCA's
e	Wildlife
Social	Golf course
	Lyndhurst trailer park
	Baldwin airport
	Hwy 48 Skydiving
	Caring community/multiple annual social gatherings/ strong sense of
	community/friendly/tight-knit community
	Must travel for youth events and recreation
Economic	Harmony ranch
	Convenience store + gas station
	Daycare
	Gift shop
	Golf course
	Baldwin airport
	Tourism
	You have to drive everywhere
	Home businesses
	Agriculture
	Mechanic repair shop
	Antique shop
	Affluent community
Unique	Heritage buildings
Features	Black River ponds/fresh water reserve for Lake Simcoe (Black River)
	Airport
	Conservation area
	Grist mill/grist mill falls/dam
	Parachute
	Close to golf courses



Physical	Small	BELHAVEN
Demographic	Farming	DELTAVEN
	Retired	
	Families	
Environmental	Wood lots	
	Wildlife	
Social	Hall	
	Small Town	
	Recreation/ball diamond	
Economic	Farming	
	Small home business	
	Landscape/gardening	
Unique	Mixed lot sizes	
Features	House styles vary	The S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S

2.3 Brownhill

Physical	Heavy traffic on Ravenshoe Road Wetlands – river Forest Farming Redevelopment on 48 & Ravenshoe Split hamlet between municipalities
Demographic	Approximately 45 – 60 years of age Long-time residents Retirees
Environmental	Railway trail – recreation Black River Regional forest – Zephr & Brownhill Septic/well
Social	It's a bigger area than mapped out Historical site Brownhill CRCC Commuters Being a border hamlet to three Townships, we are sometimes forgotten
Economic	Car restoration Tyson Trailers T.J. Welding Dog kennels Brownhill Auto Skilton Kitchens Newmarket Equipment

Unique	Our park
Features	Historic corner
	Hiking trails
	Skiing

2.4 Ravenshoe

Physical	Wooded Homes back on green space Ponds Creek through middle of Hamlet Split between E. Gwillimbury & Georgina Community lines roads	RAVENSHOE
Demographic Environmental	Families PSW Septic and wells	
Social	Wildlife Church Group home Historical	
Economic	Farming	
Unique Features	Property lines don't match boundary	

$2.5 \, \mathrm{Udora}$

Physical	 Split between Durham and Georgina Unique forested subdivisions Natural terrain/beauty Trails Naturally protected by water + forest Trees/forested Two rivers in a bowl Water Uxbridge Brook Pefferlaw River Surrounded by farmland Many un-assumed roads and lanes
----------	---

Demographic	Long-standing residents New owners	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	Seniors/strength	UD0RA
	Blend of seniors and young couples	<u>U</u> <u>u</u>
	Commuter working families Finn camp (seasonal)	Physical Social
	Estonian camp was a large part of Udora	keep trees park Zrivers econnersbres incl. 935 in a bouil church community play school
	Farmers, commuters	communities play 30,000 (
	Average income households	Demographic, Economic
Environmental	River/streams	Fina camp (seasonal) corner store/gas Estonian community seasonal activities
	Forest/dense forestation Walking trails	retirees artists
	Road traffic/404 expansion/trucks etc.	poor cell & land line
	Environmentally protected land	ENVIRONMENTAL on supplic and wells green spaces UNIQUE FEATURES
	Unique species	bildlife - Mill
	Sensitive wetlands	- impat of Kakenshoe - heritage cornerstore
	Open space/green spaces Clean groundwater	-Jutare invaria Bauenshoe upprode - on 3 boundaries (Durham - thro up to un 68 including trucks
	Septic and wells	5
	Farmland	
	Impact of Ravenshoe on wildlife	Rysical Gauge
	Future impact of Ravenshoe upgrade through	-
	Two rivers for fishing/watershed, fish habitat	
	Shallow areas, but little proper drainage, hig Many wildlife: deer, rabbits, coyotes, bears, t	
Social	Historic village/perhaps historical developme	•
	Community centre/the hall is the hub	
	Active Lions Club/many Lion Club sponsored	events
	Estonian community Small-town minded – not interested in chang	te or development on a large scale
	Park	se of development on a large scale
	Two corner stores including gas	
	Church/1 United Church (in season)	
	Play school	
	Neighbourly but not intrusive	
Economic	Retirement	
	Recreational	
	Gas station/general store	
	Seasonal activities Home businesses	
	No good wifi/poor cell & landline	
	Mostly a bedroom community, farming, self-	employed artists

Unique	Cottages
Features	Architecture
	Large lots/space
	Proximity to Lake Simcoe
	Wetlands
	Recreation
	General store centre of community
	Post office
	Small local gas station
	Wildlife
	Historical
	Two rivers
	Heritage corner store
	Finn/Estonian communities
	On three boundaries (Durham, Brock, Georgina)
	Divided into South-Uxbridge + North-Georgina by Ravenshoe Rd.
	Historical feature – the Mill Church
	Pond

2.6 Virginia

Physical	Lakeshore marina + ferry (First Nation) Privately community run parks Beach front – private Fields Septic/wells All roads into beach communities branch off 48 – busy in summer Hard to get in and out of Lake Simcoe shoreline flat Mature Agricultural/surrounding farms Quiet Wildlife Mature lots Safe Flat-low lands + waterfront	
Demographic	Mixed ages/stages (retired, young families) Commuters Seasonal (1/4) with permanent (3/4) Fewer small children < 12 More teens Retirees increasing All ages Few minorities High taxes	

Environmental	
Liivii onnientai	Wells + septic (no town water)/poor well water quantity and supply/poor
	septic/many homes + businesses have water issues (lack of; salt content)
	Flooding
	Ag. runoff from outside hamlet
	Wildlife
	Sunsets
	Swampy
	Older trees
	Lake major influence
	Low land/high water table
	Recreational + sporting
	Clear air
	No sidewalks
	High taxes
	Waterfront
	Agricultural/surrounding farms
	River through island view beach
	Ash trees
	Lake/protection act
Social	Community park activities/good community spirit/strong community
	Beach association
	Park
	Each beach community works together – Royal, Sunset Beach, Woodfield, Virginia
	Beach
	Safe
	Cottage life (fire, bbq, parties)
	Individual homeowner's associations
	Rate-payers/waterfront access
	Community churches
Economic	A few local businesses
	A lot of people commuting
	Mid – low
	Lack of employment
	Lack of business opportunities
	Not much work/employment
	What's there is seasonal
	Should consider road between Cronsberry & Haddon
	Hwy 48 crazy busy for residents to turn onto 48 especially on weekends
	Blue-collar
	Long travel to work
	Tourists – boating, ice + summer fishing
	Tourist make a mess
	Hadden Road + government dock/ferry & marina
	Small-family run businesses
	Island ferry
	Isiuliu terry

Unique Features	Lake location businesses Bedroom community
	Ice fishing – local + private marina
	Water access
	Lot size
	Ferry to island
	Community parks along lakeshore
	Great sunsets
	Vast area
	Wildlife (deer, fox, etc.)
	Lake Simcoe
	Big lots
	Mature trees
	No city lights
	Individual homeowner associations
	Beaches

Once each group completed their description, they were asked to report back to the other participants.

Reporting back provided an opportunity for broader discussion among participants. Most discussion occurred between different groups representing the same hamlet. For example, in the Udora session, there were four separate groups, about 32 of the participants who were residents of the Udora area. Similarly, in the Egypt sessions, there were approximately six groups or 36 people representing Virginia. In most hamlet cases, а consensus on characteristics was reached. The discussion was also highly educational.



3.0 **Opportunities and Constraints**

Following a review of the characteristics of each hamlet, the groups were asked to describe what opportunities and constraints there were for development in the community. The following questions were posed to the participants:

What opportunities are there for improving the character of the community?

What constraints are there that may make it more difficult to implement the opportunities?

The following charts summarize the responses received from participants:

3.1 Baldwin

Opportunities	Business development for previous existing business
	Clean up environment
	Reductions in airport disruption of environment
	Traffic lights at corner of Smith and 48 on sensors or some system for traffic calming
	High speed internet, cable, gas
	Better define wetlands and conservation land – include hydro easement
	Prevent filling operations in surrounding areas
	Picnic area near Grist Mill or conservation
	404 extension – better commuting – nearby
	Access to amenities – bus + stop
	Preserve existing character
	Extend conservation areas
Constraints	Taxes go up
	Unresponsive Council – only concerned
	about economy
	Truck traffic from impending landfill
	Unwilling government testing officials
	Farm runoff
	Potential for flooding
	Volume of traffic on 48
	Available land for community centre or youth centre
	Definition of planning act
	Amount of taxes already paid for amenities we get – how will we be able to receive
	additional amenities
	Go Bus doesn't stop
	Grand-fathered applications
	Existing assessments
	All utilities
	Superior legislation (bad and good)

3.2 Belhaven

Opportunities	Detour
	Sidewalks
	Manage traffic speed
	Enforce
Constraints	Current boundaries don't allow for expansion
	Current defined boundaries
	High tax – no amenities

3.3 Brownhill

Opportunities	Opportunity/redevelop the corner of Hwy 48 and Ravenshoe
Constraints	Minimum development due to our unique feature or wetlands!
	Redevelopment constraints - hydrology
	1. Groundwater – high table
	2. Flooding – Black River
	Increased Traffic!!! – Dangerous!!!
	Regional stormline
	Big dump trucks going to landfill on Smith Blvd.
	Already existing industry
	Existing dangerous corner – Townline & Ravenshoe residents are currently fighting
	for solar stop sign & repair or replacement or bridge structure due to heavy vehicles
	increased traffic
	Push for completion of 404 to Sutton so Hwy 48 is bypassed

3.4 Ravenshoe

Opportunities	Growth
	Commuters 404 – Ravenshoe – Ravencrest
	Roads currently do not support increase in traffic and high speeds
	Ravencrest narrow, posted at 60 km/h, increase in traffic and speed, currently
	dangerous
	Intersection – straighten to right angles for safer traffic
	Rezone unused commercial lot at Ravenshoe/Ravencrest to residential or
	productive commercial
Constraints	Large increase in traffic flow east on Ravenshoe then northeast on Ravencrest a
	major concern and vice versa in morning
	Septics next to PSW – can this handle more?
	Lot size similar to current
	Keeping in character

$3.5 \, \text{Udora}$

Opportunities	Minor infill
	With good wifi we could get more small businesses
	Compatible with wetland
	Tourist + recreational activities by day
	With 404 corridor + new routes (Ravenshoe) more people may want to come here
	Provincial road funding is available – Georgina did not apply in November since their
	asset management plan was not complete. Hopefully they will have another
	opportunity
	Extreme traffic 404
	Udora is a gateway to many other areas that are booming
	Increase can come from existing building lots
	Impact of growth south of Ravenshoe on Udora – due to water flow turnoff
	High Speed internet availability
	Home offices cut down on cars
	Exploit infill opportunities with small-scale business
	Become even more self-sustaining
	Small agriculture ventures, market gardening
	Development for existing business
	Adventure camping, sports, canoe, kayak
	We have ability now to create + sustain these ideas
	LSPD public work issues public access
Constraints	Camp is on private property
	Not enough water for large development
	Recommend a little/minimal growth
	A lot of land is regulated by Greenbelt Regulation
	No infrastructure
	Very limited telecommunications
	Future development should conform to existing housing Lots of land close to water (swamps, rivers)
	Internet issues
	Triplex wiring – need to upgrade hydro lines
	Many environmental issues (Estonian camp had a flood during hurricane Hazel)
	Split community Uxbridge vs. Georgina
	Many segregated groups, no overall umbrella for communication
	Roads unattached culverts, too narrow and watershed issues visibility
	Little support for change
	Outdated Zoning By-laws, easements, private lanes
	Most people don't seem to want change here
	Lots of trucks (but few turnarounds etc.)
	Expand Udora's official boundary to protect it – main worry about growth
	Expansion all around will only reduce quality of life in Udora

$3.6\,\text{Virginia}$

<u> </u>	
Opportunities	Recreation centre
	Library
	Tim Hortons
	Traffic-calming on Hadden
	Islands down 48
	Sustain agricultural/maintaining a rural setting
	Better control for public access (tourists) Hadden
	Clean up
	Sustain tree cover/wildlife
	Better relationship/connection between beach associations
	Bike trail to join three beach communities, maybe use easement in Sunset Beach
	Ball diamond or soccer field – shared access or jogging
	Politics
	Fees + factors
	Water (Town water)
	Restaurants/cafes
	Hydro infrastructure
	Lake water quality and Management of
	lake water
	Parking lot on Hadden
	We like our small, quiet community
Constraints	Municipal services lacking
Constraints	Available land (municipal)?
	Costs
	Disinterest
	Currently all 3 beach communities are
	separate
	Property standards
	By-laws enforcement to clean up properties
	High price of building permits
	Cost of pipe (taxes)
	Services
	Current management of the lake
	Location
	Fear water + sewer would spoil quiet nature – would rather stay with status quo
	real water + sewer would spoll quiet hature – would father stay with status quo

Similar to the first section of the Open House, each group reported back to the larger group to discuss the opportunities and constraints for development.

4.0 Future Development

At each Open House the groups were asked whether or not their community should grow; and if so, under what conditions. In the session for Udora, Ravenshoe and Brownhill, the groups did not have discussions regarding this matter since, during the Open House, the groups came to the conclusion that their communities should not grow to any significant amount.

In the Egypt workshop, there was more discussion related to the issue of growth. For example, in Baldwin the participants indicated that there were limited opportunities for growth, but identified some infilling and perhaps minor rounding out at the area of Smith Road and Highway 48. Baldwin participants also identified concerns related to opportunities for the impact of the existing airport on growth. In Belhaven, the three groups reported that either no growth or very limited growth should be considered. However, there was discussion on the need for limited growth in order to justify improvements to municipal services and amenities.

Perhaps the liveliest discussion related to growth came from groups representing Virginia. While a number of groups said absolutely no growth should occur, there were some groups who indicated that growth could occur provided that it was properly serviced with water, sewage and electricity. There is a recognized servicing constraint in Virginia, being the lack of available potable water from private wells. There was also an indication that there are constraints related to drainage in



the community which needed to be addressed. During the session, the participants representing Virginia were asked whether or not the boundaries of Virginia should be reduced to reflect the actual development constraints for the community. In general, residents said the boundaries should not be reduced but that there needs to be a clear policy in the Official Plan that development within those boundaries is extremely limited by servicing and environmental conditions including drainage.

5.0 Conclusion

Each session concluded with a discussion of broad issues with participants and then a review of the next steps to be followed in the Official Plan Review process. Those steps included:

- Preparation of this report;
- Workshop Number Two, Identifying Policy Directions; and,
- A report on policy directions for the Official Plan Review.

Participants were also advised that there would be further public engagement opportunities as the process continues.